

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Anil D. Jha et al.  
Application No: 10/712,621  
Confirmation No: 2148  
Filed: November 13, 2003  
For: WATER TREATMENT SYSTEM AND METHOD  
  
Examiner: Joseph W. Drodge  
Art Unit: 1797

---

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being electronically filed in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(a)(4) on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of September 2010.

/elias domingo/  
Elias Domingo

---

Commissioner for Patents

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT FILED PURSUANT TO THE DUTY OF  
DISCLOSURE UNDER 37 CFR §§ 1.56, 1.97 AND 1.98

Sir:

Pursuant to the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98, Applicants request consideration of this Information Disclosure Statement.

PART I: Compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.97

This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than 3 months after the filing date of this application and after the mailing date of the first Office Action, but before the mailing date of either a final action under 37 C.F.R. § 1.311 or a Notice of Allowance under 37 C.F.R. § 1.311 or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in this application. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the \$180.00 fee to Deposit Account No. 50/2762, referencing attorney docket no. I0168-707619. Applicants hereby make of record in the above-identified application the information provided herein.

PART II: Information Cited

Applicants hereby make of record in the above-identified application the information listed on the concurrently filed form.

The order of presentation of the references should not be construed as an indication of the importance of the references.

Applicants make the following additional information of record in the above-identified application.

Applicants bring to the Examiner's attention the following co-pending applications that may contain subject matter related to this application:

<u>Application No.</u>	<u>Filing Date</u>	<u>Inventor(s)</u>
12/477,013 (published as U.S. Publication No. US 2009 0236235 A1)	06-02-2009	Frederick Wilkins et al.

Applicants bring to the Examiner's attention the communications for co-pending or granted applications.

Application No. 10/712,162, filed November 13, 2003:

Non-final Office Action, dated June 28, 2007;  
Non-final Office Action, dated September 5, 2008;  
Non-final Office Action, dated January 27, 2009;  
Final Office Action, dated September 8, 2009; and  
Non-final Office Action, dated May 3, 2010.

Application No. 10/712,685, filed November 13, 2003:

Non-final Office Action, dated February 22, 2006;  
Non-final Office Action, dated July 5, 2006;  
Non-final Office Action, dated November 28, 2006;  
Interview Summary, dated November 28, 2006;  
Final Office Action, dated May 16, 2007;  
Appellant's Brief Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.37, dated December 17, 2007;  
Examiner's Answer, dated January 30, 2008;  
Appellant's Reply Brief Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.41, dated March 28, 2008;  
Decision on Appeal, dated July 14, 2009;  
Non-final Office Action, dated October 22, 2009;  
Final Office Action, dated February 17, 2010; and  
Non-final Office Action, dated June 22, 2010.

Application No. 10/712,166, filed November 13, 2003:

Restriction Requirement, dated June 29, 2007;  
Non-final Office Action, dated October 11, 2007;  
Non-final Office Action, dated June 16, 2008;  
Non-final Office Action, dated June 9, 2009;  
Final Office Action, dated December 31, 2009;  
Interview Summary, dated April 7, 2010; and  
Advisory Action, dated June 28, 2010.

Application No. 10/712,163, filed November 13, 2003:

Restriction Requirement, dated June 29, 2007;  
Non-final Office Action, dated October 24, 2007;  
Non-final Office Action, dated April 21, 2008;  
Final Office Action, dated September 9, 2008; and  
Advisory Action, dated December 19, 2008.

Application No. 11/311,528, filed December 19, 2005:

Restriction Requirement, dated October 1, 2009;

Non-final Office Action, dated February 5, 2010; and

Final Office Action, dated August 23, 2010.

Application No. 10/712,248, filed November 13, 2003:

Non-final Office Action and Restriction Requirement, dated June 7, 2005.

Application No. 10/712,250, filed November 13, 2003:

Restriction Requirement, dated June 29, 2007;

Non-final Office Action, dated October 11, 2007;

Advisory Action, dated September 29, 2008;

Non-final Office Action, dated December 29, 2008; and

Interview Summary, dated April 24, 2009.

Application No. 10/712,674, filed November 13, 2003:

Non-final Office Action, dated June 28, 2007;

Final Office Action, dated September 9, 2008;

Advisory Action, dated December 23, 2008; and

Non-final Office Action, dated March 17, 2009.

Applicants further invite the Examiner to review all of the papers in each of the above-noted applications.

PART III: Remarks

Documents cited anywhere in the Information Disclosure Statement are provided unless they are U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications. It is respectfully requested that:

1. The Examiner consider completely the cited information, along with any other information, in reaching a determination concerning the patentability of the present claims;

2. Any concurrently filed forms be signed by the Examiner to evidence that the cited information has been fully considered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office during the examination of this application;

3. The citations for the information be printed on any patent which issues from this application.

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, Applicants make no representation that a search has been performed, of the extent of any search performed, or that more relevant information does not exist.

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, Applicants make no representation that the information cited in the Statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(b).

By submitting this Information Disclosure Statement, Applicants make no representation that the information cited in the Statement is, or is considered to be, in fact, prior art as defined by 35 U.S.C. § 102.

Notwithstanding any statements by Applicants, the Examiner is urged to form his own conclusion regarding the relevance of the cited information.

An early and favorable action is hereby requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Anil D. Jha et al., Applicants

By: /elias domingo/  
Peter C. Lando, Reg. No. 34,654  
Elias Domingo, Reg. No. 52,827  
LANDO & ANASTASI, LLP  
Riverfront Office Park  
One Main Street  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142  
Tel. (617) 395-7000  
Attorney for Applicants

L&A Docket No. I0168-707619

Date: September 23, 2010